REMARKS

Favorable consideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

The Examiner objected to the instant specification in that it did not comply with the formal requirements for a reissue application, as set forth in MPEP Chapter 1400.

Accordingly, attached is a copy of the instant specification that conforms with MPEP § 1411, and 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.121(b)(2)(I)(B) and 1.121(b)(2)(I)(C).

The copy of the specification which was submitted when this reissue application was filed was submitted in error and Patentees did not intend that the original claims be amended or deleted in any way. The underlining was added, as suggested in the reissue declaration that accompanied the application, as a means of highlighting those portions of the original claims that were being-omitted from the newly added claims.

Also submitted is a new copy of the claims that were added in this reissue application, wherein each newly added claim is underlined, as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(2)(I)(B).

Finally, the Examiner requested that Patentees submit an explanation of the support for the newly added claims of this reissue application. The following table shows the support in the application for each of the newly added claims (the table only provides illustrative examples of those portions of the specification which support the claims, and it should not be taken to represent the only portions of the specification where support can be found):

| Claim | Portion of Specification That Supports Claim |
|-------|--|
| 37 | Examples 1,3,4 |
| 38 | Example 4 |
| 39 | Example 4 |
| 40 | Examples 1 & 3 |

PATENT 0039091-0070

| 41 | Examples 1 & 3 |
|--------|-------------------------|
| 42 | Examples 1 & 3 |
| 43, 45 | Examples 1 & 3 |
| 44, 46 | Example 3 |
| 47 | Examples 1-5 |
| 48 | Examples 1-5 |
| 49 | Example 5 |
| 50 | Example 5 |
| 51 | Example 1 |
| 52 | Example 1 |
| 53 | Example 1 |
| 54 | Column 6, lines 46-66, |
| | to column 7, lines 1-12 |
| 55 | Examples 1-5 |
| 56 | Examples 1-5 |
| 57 | Examples 4-5 |
| 58 | Examples 4-5 |
| 59 | Example 5 |
| 60 | Example 5 |
| 61 | Example 5 |
| 62 | Examples 1 & 3 |
| 63, 65 | Examples 1 & 3 |
| 64, 66 | Example 3 |
| 67 | Examples 1 & 3 |
| 68 | Examples 1-5 |
| 69 | Examples 1-5 |
| 70 | Examples 1-5 |

In view of the foregoing, Patentees submit that there is ample support in the original specification to support the newly added claims.



CONCLUSION

Patentees respectfully submit that the claims are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

WHITMAN BREED ABBOTT & MORGAN, LLP 200 Park Avenue, New York, NY 10166 Attorneys for Patentees

Bv

Barry Evans

Reg. No. 22,802

John E. Boyd

Reg. No. 38,055

Pamela C. Ancona Reg. No. 41,494

(212) 351-3000